

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of the application are respectfully requested in view of the amendments and remarks herewith. The present amendment is being made to facilitate prosecution of the application.

### **I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS AND FORMAL MATTERS**

Claims 69-71, 73-77, 79, 80 and 82 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1-68, 72, 78 and 81 have been canceled. Claims 69-71, 74, 77 and 80 are independent, and are hereby amended in this response. Support for this amendment is provided throughout the Specification as originally filed, specifically in paragraphs 0036, 0038, 0097 and 0103 of the Specification as originally filed. No new matter has been introduced by this amendment. Changes to the claims are not made for the purpose of patentability within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §101, §102, §103, or §112. Rather, these changes are made simply for clarification and to round out the scope of protection to which Applicant is entitled.

### **II. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 AND 103**

Claims 69-71, 74, 77 and 80 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,963,203 to Goldberg (hereinafter, merely “Goldberg”).

Claims 72, 75, 78 and 81 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldberg in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,724,472 to Abecassis (hereinafter, merely “Abecassis”).

Claims 73, 76, 79, and 82 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over Goldberg in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,546,555 to Hjelsvold (hereinafter, merely “Hjelsvold”).

Claim 69 recites, *inter alia*:

“A method for transmitting video data comprising …

obtaining semantic evaluation meta-data including evaluation values of each shot or scene of the main video data, said semantic evaluation meta-data indicating the impact or significance of a shot or scene in the development of the content represented by the main video data; and  
transmitting the identifying data, the semantic evaluation data, and the main video data, wherein the identifying data and the semantic evaluation meta-data is used for extracting shots or scenes from the main video to generate a summary or short video.” (Emphasis added)

Applicant respectfully submits that the relied upon portions of Goldberg do not teach or suggest the above identified feature in claim 69. Specifically, Goldberg does not teach or suggest obtaining semantic evaluation meta-data including evaluation values of each shot or scene of the main video data, the semantic evaluation meta-data indicating the impact or significance of a shot or scene in the development of the content represented by the main video data; and transmitting the identifying data, the semantic evaluation data, and the main video data, wherein the identifying data and the semantic evaluation meta-data is used for extracting shots or scenes from the main video to generate a summary or short video, as recited in claim 69.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 69, directed to a transmitting method, is patentable.

Claim 70 is the corresponding transmitter and is therefore patentable for similar reasons.

Claims 71 and 74 are the corresponding receiving method and receiver, respectively, and are therefore patentable for similar reasons.

Claims 77 and 80 are the corresponding transmitting/receiving method and transmission/reception system, respectively, and are therefore patentable for similar reasons.

### **III. DEPENDENT CLAIMS**

The other claims in this application are each dependent from one of the independent claims discussed above and are therefore patentable for at least the same reasons. Since each dependent claim is also deemed to define an additional aspect of the invention, however, the individual reconsideration of the patentability of each on its own merits is respectfully requested.

### **CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that all of the claims are in condition for allowance and requests early passage to issue of the present application.

In the event the Examiner disagrees with any of statements appearing above with respect to the disclosure in the cited references, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner specifically indicate those portions of the references providing the basis for a contrary view.

Please charge any additional fees that may be needed, and credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 50-0320.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP  
Attorneys for Applicant

By   
Thomas F. Presson  
Reg. No. 41,442  
(212) 588-0800